Energy Consumption Analysis of Different ALU Look-up Table Based Circuit Designs

Ivan Bernal Garcia

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Central Florida Orlando, FL 32816-2362

Abstract—The purpose of this paper is to evaluate how different look-up table designs have an effect on energy consumption. For this paper an assembly langue code was made that would ask the user for an input word, store it in the form of a string and use it to find matches on a hardcoded string. Once the code went through the string the program returns the input string capitalized with the number of times that input appeared in the hardcode string. Once the code was completed the Instruction Statistics tool was used to measure the types of instructions used and how many times they were used. These numbers were used to calculate the energy consumption of each design, and design [1] had the best energy consumption out of all the other designs only consuming 267.9 pico-joules.

Keywords— fj (femto joules), LUT (look up table), ALU (algorithmic logic unit), FPGA (field programmable gate array), CMOS, energy consumption, NEMS, SRAM

I. PROJECT DESIGN

The assembly code used takes in a word input for the user and stores it in the form of a string. We store the word in the label 'term' that has 10 bytes reserved for cases of different word length, including the enter character that has a value of 10. The term is then printed in all caps by utilizing a loop that turns lower case characters to upper case by subtracting 32 from the characters ASCII value. The string we are searching is loaded to the label 'string' and the term is load again so we start off from the first characters. A label called loadterm is used to reload the term every time we either miss or get a match. We jump to label 'loop' where we do multiple things, load a byte from both strings, check if term equals 10 (ASCII value for enter) means there's a word match we jump to 'loadtermmacth' to rest the term string and add on to the match counter. If 'string' equals zero we have reached the end of the string and proceed to print the results. Plus to check if we have a perfect match, add 32 to check if we have a lower case match, subtract 64 in case its an upper case match, if we get a match from those three options we jump to 'match', add one to both string to move to the next letter, then jump to 'loop' and check the new letters. If there is no match we add one to the 'string' and reload the term and jump back to loop to check the next character. Once we reach the end of the string we jump to exit and print the number from the word match counter. To test the code three different inputs were used, lower case, lower case and upper case, and a word and a number separated by a space. This was done to see if the code work for



Fig.1: Flowchart of the assembly program.

```
Please input first word:ucf
UCF:3
-- program is finished running --
Please input first word:KnIgHt
KNIGHT:6
-- program is finished running --
Please input first word:in 1970
IN 1970:1
-- program is finished running --
Fig.2: Sample outputs of the assembly program.
```

different character types, which it did providing the right number of times the input word appeared on the string and printing the outputs in the desired format correctly.

II. LOOK-UP TABLE CIRCUIT

Look-up table (LUT) based ALU are interesting pieces of technology that have a wide range of applications [7]. A look up table has a pre-defined number of inputs and outputs, all possible calculation you want can be simulated without the need for Boolean gates, which require time to perform the calculations and take up space a lot of space. A LUT is essentially a large truth table written in memory containing all possible inputs and their outputs. Basically, a LUT knows that 5x5 is 25 just by looking it up that combination, when using Boolean gates, they have to work out what 5*5 is first then return an answer. LUTs are used in FPGAs logic blocks to perform logic functions, they are stored in multiple logic tiles and can be wired together to make larger LUTs [1][5].

Multiple technologies are used to realize LUTs, PT-base MUXes are used to make LUTs that use low voltage. For faster LUTs a spin-MTJ based LUT using CMOS and sense amplifier switches are used however this increases speed, but waste more energy making it the least efficient design [2]. LUTs that use SRAM and CMOS circuits tend to be cheaper but have power leaking due to lots of transistors. Reducing the number of sense amplifiers and sharing transistors to reduce the size of the LUTs compensates for the loss [3][6]. Additionality a combination of CMOS-NEMS switch LUTs have the ability to have near zero power leakage while still being fast [4].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using the instruction statistics tool in MARS we run the code that was created to see how much of each instruction type was used. Here we have the number of each type of instruction used and the corresponding amount of energy each instruction uses:

1) ALU: 3751 intrutions	ALU = Refer to Table I
2) Branch: 3109 intrutions	Branch = 3 fJ
3) Jump: 1813 intrutions	Jump = 2 fJ
4) Memory: 1279 intrutions	Memory = 200 fJ
5) Other: 18 intrutions	Other = 5 fJ

Table I: Energy consumption for a single ALU Instruction in the designs provided in [1-4].

Design	Energy Consumption For Each ALU Instruction
[1]	0.1 fJ
[2]	1.2 fJ
[3]	0.6 fJ
[4]	0.25 fJ

Table 1 contains the amount of energy require per instructions for the different LUT designs design shown in texts [1-4].

For the total energy calculations, we take the number of instructions for an instruction type and multiply by the corresponding energy per instruction and the corresponding design option.

program using designs provided in [1-4].		
Design	Total Energy Consumption	
[1]	267.9 рј	
[2]	272.0 рј	
[3]	269.8 pj	
[4]	268.5 рј	

Table II: Total Energy consumption for the assembly

IV. CONCLUSION

As fast as technology is improving by becoming faster and cheaper, the area that has remained mostly the same is the power consumption of these devices. There's a lot of promising technology being developed to improve the efficiency of LUT based ALUs. These improvements made by these different technologies made a small difference in the total energy consumption. I learned how to use the UCF online library resources to find scholar papers on my topic, how spintronic devices function, the modularity of FPGAs, how LUTs work to simulate Boolean functions, realizing assembly code to find words in a giving string. The overall best design was the one proposed in reference [1] only consuming 267.9 pico-joules.

REFERENCES

- A. Alzahrani and R. F. DeMara, "Process variation immunity of alternative 16nm HK/MG-based FPGA logic blocks," 2015 IEEE 58th International Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), Fort Collins, CO, 2015, pp. 1-4.
- [2] W. Zhao, E. Belhaire, C. Chappert, F. Jacquet, P. Mazoyer, "New non-volatile logic based on spin-MTJ," *physica status solidi* (a), vol. 205, no. 6, pp. 1373-7, 2008.
- [3] D. Suzuki, M. Natsui and T. Hanyu, "Area-efficient LUT circuit design based on asymmetry of MTJ's current switching for a nonvolatile FPGA," 2012 IEEE 55th International Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), Boise, ID, 2012, pp. 334-337.
- [4] Y. Zhou, S. Thekkel and S. Bhunia, "Low power FPGA design using hybrid CMOS-NEMS approach," *Low Power Electronics and Design* (*ISLPED*), 2007 ACM/IEEE International Symposium on, Portland, OR, 2007, pp. 14-19.
- [5] M. A. Bounouar, D. Drouin and F. Calmon, "Towards nano-computing blocks using room temperature double-gate single electron transistors," 2014 IEEE 12th International New Circuits and Systems Conference (NEWCAS), Trois-Rivieres, QC, 2014, pp. 325-328.
- [6] P. Mal, J. F. Cantin and F. R. Beyette, "The circuit designs of an SRAM based look-up table for high performance FPGA architecture," *The 2002* 45th Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, 2002. MWSCAS-2002., 2002, pp. III-227-III-230 vol.3.
- [7] N. Leder, B. Pichler, G. Magerl and H. Arthaber, "Robust verification of look-up-table-based models for all-digital RF-transmitters," 2017 12th European Microwave Integrated Circuits Conference (EuMIC), Nuremberg, 2017, pp. 81-84.